

UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

09/022,336

02/11/98

JONES

W

21583-B-USA

EXAMINER

IM22/1221

GARY A HECHT SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER 2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1101 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA PA 19107-2950 MAPLES, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1745

DATE MAILED:

12/21/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or an expression or an

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Best Available Copy



Office Action Summar

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/022336	JONES	5	AL	
Examiner		Group	Art Unit	
JOW & MAPLES		1245		

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period f r Response

51

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS tys, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. vill, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	A.A.				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9-30	-99				
☐ This action is FINAL .					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disp sition of Claims					
	etare pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s) 1-6, 31	is/are pending in the application.				
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
E Claim(s) 7-13, 15, 16 22-24, 26-30, 32	35 is/are rejected.				
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers	Toqui on sin.				
🕱 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	•				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun □ received in this national stage application from the I 	of the priority documents have been nber)				
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)	·				
	r No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO- ■ ■ Transport ■ Trans	948				
Office Acti n Summary					

Application/Control Number: 09/022,336

Art Unit: 1745

1. Applicant's arguments relating to the last restriction requirement have all been considered and are deemed persuasive. Accordingly, claims 7-30 and 32-35 are being prosecuted together and have been examined on their merits as outlined below.

Claims 1-6 and 31 are withdrawn from further prosecution without traverse being drawn to a non-elected invention. Applicant did not argue the carving out of this invention so that the election is treated without traverse.

2. Claims 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 22, "ceramic vessel" finds no antecedent basis, while "vessel" of claim 24 does not have proper antecedent basis.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 15, 16 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Catylators Limited (CL).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/022,336

Art Unit: 1745

Reference is made to page 1, lines 16-60 and page 3, lines 9-15 along with all of the drawing figures.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 7-13, 15, 22, 24, 26-30, 32 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreidl et al. (Kreidl) in view of CL.

The only claimed features not shown by Kriedl are the particular vessel encompassing the catalyst, the size of the vessel and for the amount of catalyst present.

Application/Control Number: 09/022,336

Art Unit: 1745

CL sets forth as outlined above a catalyst vessel as claimed. To include in Kreidl the vessel of CL would have been obvious for its improved flame resistant properties.

The amount of catalyst present as well as the specific size of the vessel are deemed obvious matters of design choice to one of ordinary skill in this art of no patentable moment because the same would depend on the use intended. One would arrive at such particulars through routine experimentation not involving inventive concept.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Reference is made to DE 2904842, the English translation thereof, for a VRLA cell having an encapsulated catalyst secured below a pressure relief valve.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is (703) 308-1795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maria Nuzzolillo, can be reached on (703) 305-3776. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Application/Control Number: 09/022,336

Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JSM/December 18, 1999